



### OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY

February 4, 2008

Joe Pesci Chief of Police City of Bishop Police Dept. 207 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514 Monitoring Report #M08-007

Dear Chief Pesci:

On February 1, 2008, the Office of Homeland Security (OHS), Monitoring and Audits Unit, Program Monitor, James Lewis conducted a desk monitoring review for the purpose of monitoring the City of Bishop Police Department Critical Infrastructure Protection grant. I wish to thank you and your staff for the courtesy extended to Mr. Lewis during the review process. Enclosed, you will find the monitoring report for the following grants:

GRANT	PROGRAM NAME	PERIOD MONITORED
2003-0035 #027-06798	FY03 Part II Critical Infrastructural Protection (CIP)	03/17/03 - 04/16/03

The monitoring included a review of staffing needs, operational practices, source documentation, activities, and data reporting requirements. In addition, the monitor examined the grant for compliance with federal financial, administrative and auditing requirements, program guidelines, and other mandates as applicable. Finally, the monitor performed a selected review of accounting records that support the amounts claimed in your reimbursement requests. Project expenditures were validated to provide reasonable assurance that expenses are related to the grant, proper records are maintained, and expenditures are properly authorized and recorded.

As a reminder, the purpose of monitoring is to assist projects in the achievement of their goals and aiding them in administering their grant funds in the most effective and efficient manner. The monitoring is used as a tool to assist the subgrantee in complying with these requirements. Your OHS Program Representative will receive a copy of the monitoring report. Their name and phone number are identified on the face sheet of the report.

If the monitoring report does not identify any findings, a Corrective Action Plan is not necessary and the monitoring process is complete. If any findings are identified in the monitoring report, you will have 120 days from the last day of the monitoring review to submit a Correction Action Plan to the Program Monitor.

YG ( )

Monitoring Report #M08-007 Page 2

The corrective action process provides two options:

Option One – The subgrantee self certifies by the submission of an Action Plan that details the corrective steps implemented, and that any finding(s) noted in the monitoring report are resolved.

Option Two – Submission of an outlined Corrective Action Plan that addresses the findings noted in this report to be reviewed and approved by OHS program staff (i.e. technical assistance).

When corresponding to our office regarding this monitoring report, please include the monitoring control number on all correspondence. Send your response to:

Governor's Office of Homeland Security
Grants Administrative Section
Monitoring & Audits Unit
State Capitol
Sacramento, California 95814

Thank you for your participation in the monitoring process. If you have any questions regarding this letter or the attached report, please feel free to contact me at (916) 323-7611.

Sincerely,

Stacy Mason-Vegna Program Manager

Grants Monitoring & Audits Unit

#### Attachment

cc: Lt. Chris Carter, Bishop Police Dept.
Rachel Magano, OHS Program Representative
Monitoring & Audits Unit, chron file
Grants Management Unit, grant file

				,32	
	A. W	Monitoring Report Re	sponse Form	*	
ГО:	Governor's Office	of Homeland Security	Grant Numbers:	2003-0035	
	Grants Manageme		N 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20		
	Monitoring & Aud		Monitoring Control	#M08-007	
	State Capitol		Number:		
	Sacramento, CA 9	5814			
	Attention: Stacy N	Iason-Vegna	Response Due:	March 4, 2008	
	Program	n Manager		*	
	6.1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ROM:	Subgrantee:	472			
Ontion One					
7	Option One	u.,	s		
	a e	l acknowledge receipt of	the above referenced n	nonitoring report.	
	a e	l acknowledge receipt of	the above referenced n	nonitoring report.	
	a e	l acknowledge receipt of	the above referenced n	nonitoring report.	
	a e	l acknowledge receipt of	the above referenced n	nonitoring report.	
	a e	l acknowledge receipt of Title	the above referenced n	nonitoring report.	
	I have reviewed and	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nonitoring report.	
Authori	I have reviewed and	6	Date	nonitoring report.	
Authori	I have reviewed and	Title	Date		
Authori	I have reviewed and zed Signature	Title	Date	ne Number	
Authori Typed 1	I have reviewed and	Title	Date		



### CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY MONITORING NARRATIVE REPORT

GRANT/FIPS NUMBER	GRANT/FIPS NUMBER PROGRAM NAME		MONITORED AMOUNT
2003-0035 #027-06798	FY03 Part II Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP)	03/17/03 to 04/16/03	\$14,490

City of Bishop

	Police Department		
II	Y D : CI'C CD.II	ADDRESS:	207 W. Line Street
AUTHORIZED AGENT:	Joe Pesci, Chief of Police	ADDRESS.	Bishop, CA 93514
CONTACT EMAIL:	jpesci@bishoppd.org		Bishop, CA 95514
CONTACT PHONE NUMBER:	(760) 873-3866		
ALTERNATE POINT of CONTACT:			
CONTACT EMAIL:			
		P #	Bashal Magana Goba an gov
PROGRAM REPRESENTATIVE:	Rachel Magano	. E-mail:	Rachel.Magano@ohs.ca.gov
PHONE NUMBER:	(916) 324-9314		Tr. r. i @ l
PROGRAM MONITOR:	James Lewis	E-mail:	James Lewis@ohs.ca.gov
PHONE NUMBER:	(916) 322-2335	DATE OF MONITORING:	February 1, 2008
PER	SONS INTERVIEWED DU	IRING MONITORING V	/ISIT
NAME	TITLE	AGEN	CY
Prepared by: Hames Lewis, Pro	gram Monitor, OHS Administration	n Division, Monitoring & Audits	2/1/2008 s Unit /Date
Approved by:	ma, Program Manager, OHS Admir		4/1/08

# City of Bishop Police Department Monitoring Report

	Monitoring Report Summary	Total # of Items in Category	In Compliance	Not in Compliance	Not Monitored	Not Applicable	Total
A	Administrative Review						
	Review of Audit Reports	2				2	2
	Grant Assurances	1	1		£3		1
	Grant Approval Notification	2	2				2
	Performance Reports	1	A 600			1	1
	Homeland Security Strategies	1			18	1	1
	Publication of Published Materials	1				1	1
	D				52 -		
B.	Programmatic Review	2	2				2
	Program Goals and Objectives	2	2			•	
	Exercise	2				2	2
	Training	1			5	1	1
	Planning	1				1	1
C.	Financial Management						
	Accounting System	8	8			*	8
	Distribution of Funds	1		63		1	1
	Advance of Funds	2				2	2
	Change Requests/Modifications	2			37	2	2
	Maintenance of Records	1				1	1
D.	Fiscal: Personnel Services						
2.	Management and Administrative Services	3	2			1	3
	Overtime/Back Fill	3	3				3
-	Fiscal: Procurement						
E.		1				1	1
	Responsibility	1				1	1
	Methods of Procurement	4				4	4
F.	Fiscal: Equipment & Property Management						
	Equipment Purchases	3				3	3
	Property Management & Records Keeping	2				2	2
G	Subgrantee Monitoring & Oversight		53				
U.	Subrecipient single audit requirements	1	2			-1	1
	Management and Administrative Responsibility	1				1	1
	Overtime/Backfill/CTO Responsibility	1				1	1
	Procurement Responsibility	1				1	1
	Equipment & Property Management Responsibility	1			60	1	1
	Total	49	18	0	0	31	49
	Iola	47	10	U	v	J.	

## City of Bishop Police Department Monitoring Report

#### PROGRAM SUMMARY

Corrective Action Plan: Not Required.

#### MONITORING REPORT DETAIL

- A. Administrative Review: Subgrantee in compliance (3 items); Not applicable for Subgrantee (5 items).
  - 1. Review of Audit Report: Not applicable for Subgrantee (2 items).
  - 2. Grant Assurances: Subgrantee in compliance (1 item).
  - 3. Grant Approval Notification: Subgrantee in compliance (2 items).
  - 4. Performance Reports: Not applicable for Subgrantee (1 item).
  - 5. Homeland Security Strategies: Not applicable for Subgrantee (1 item).
  - 6. Publication of Materials: Not applicable for Subgrantee (1 item).
- **B.** Programmatic Review: Subgrantee in compliance (2 items); Not applicable for Subgrantee (4 items).
  - 1. Program Goals & Objectives: Subgrantee in compliance (2 items).
  - 2. Exercise: Not applicable for Subgrantee (2 items)
  - 3. **Training:** Not applicable for Subgrantee (1 item).
  - 4. Planning: Not applicable for Subgrantee (1 item).
- C. Financial Management: Subgrantee in compliance (8 items); Not applicable for Subgrantee (6 items).
  - 1. Accounting System: Subgrantee in compliance (8 items).
  - 2. Distribution of Funds: Not applicable for Subgrantee (1 item).
  - 3. Advance of Funds: Not applicable for Subgrantee (2 items).

### City of Bishop Police Department Monitoring Report

- C. 4. Change Request/Modifications: Not applicable for Subgrantee (2 items).
  - 5. Records Maintenance: Not applicable for Subgrantee. (1 item).
- **D.** Fiscal: Personnel Services: Subgrantee in compliance (5 items); Not applicable for Subgrantee (1 item).
  - Critical Infrastructure Protection: Subgrantee in compliance (2 items); Not applicable for Subgrantee. (1 item)
    - a. Allocation: Subgrantee in compliance (1 item).
    - b. Allowable Costs/Activities: Not applicable for Subgrantee (1 item).
    - c. Functional Timesheets: Subgrantee in compliance (1 item).
  - 2. Overtime/Backfill and/or CTO: Subgrantee in compliance (3 items).
- E. Fiscal: Procurement: Not applicable for Subgrantee (5 items).
- F. Fiscal: Equipment & Property Management: Not applicable for Subgrantee (5 items).
- G. Subgrantee Monitoring & Oversight: Not applicable for Subgrantee (5 items).